P10

Sectt. II-27—45,000—9-11-34.

# Revenue (1935) Department.

WS SERIES.

G.O. No. 2049

DATED 30-8-35

## [Despatch Abstract.]

[illegible] - Ground rent - (South Kanara) - Reduction of - Consideration - Necessary.

C.F. 31

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT. 5- JUL 1935 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

CURRENT No. 27445

*Received* ..... 1935. *Registered* 5.7.35 1935.

*Subject.*

ASSESSMENT - Ground rent - (South Kanara) -Revision of rates - Notification - Publication.

ENCLOSURES.
No.....
.....
Spare copies.....

Reference No. F.2128/35-1

*Station* Madras *Dated the* 2nd July 1935

From M.K. Vellodi Esquire, I.C.S.,

Joint Secretary Land Revenue. &

~~The~~ Board of Revenue, ~~Revenue~~ Settlement, etc.

~~Separate Revenue.~~

M.R.Ry., Diwan Bahadur N. Gopalaswami Ayyangar, Avl.,C.I.E.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue and Settlement.

~~Resolution~~

~~Reference.~~

Read - the following -

Letter from M.R.Ry., K.P. Krishnan Nayar Avergal, B.A., Special Assistant Settlement Officer in charge, Party No.III, Mangalore, No, R.O.C. A2- 167/34-17, dated 30-5-1935, and the Endorsement thereon by the Collector of South Kanara, No.D.Di 2428-35, dated 6th June 1935.

REFERENCE:-

Submitted to Government.

2. The Board supports the Special Assistant Settlement Officer's proposal and requests that the draft notification submitted with his letter may be approved. It also requests that the Special Assistant Settlement Officer's action in not issuing rough pattas or individual notices to the holders of these ground-rent lands may also be approved.

For Joint Secretary,

To The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
The Collector of South Kanara,
The Special Assistant Settlement Officer in charge of Party No. III. Mangalore.

P.T.S., 3/7

P.T.O.

Letter from M.R.Ry., K.P. Krishnan Nayar Avl., B.A., Special Assistant Settlement Officer in charge, Party No. III, Mangalore, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue and Settlement, Madras, through the Collector of South Kanara, Mangalore, No. R.O.C. A2- 1672-34-17, dated 30-5-1935.

---

Assessment - Ground rent - (South Kanara) - Gazette Notification - Desirability -Orders Requested.

---

Reference : - G.O.No. Ms. 1059 [redacted].,) dated 6-5-35. (M)

---

In paragraph 3 of their order quoted above Government have accepted the proposal contained in paragraph 9 of my - letter R.O.C. A2-1672-34-12, dated 29-11-34, that no separate pattas need be issued in respect of ground rent cases which may continue to appear in the adangal and 10 (1) chitta account without the particulars of their description and soil classification. Agreeably to these orders and in consideration of the fact that the revenue registry of the fields has not been interfered with during resettlement, no rough pattas are being issued to the - registered holders concerned. It does not also appear necessary to issue individual notices in such cases merely for the purpose of intimating to the ryots concerned the percentage - enhancement sanctioned by Government, for the order of Government in this respect is final and no objection thereto could be admitted. I, however, feel doubtful whether a general notification should not be published in the district gazette notifying the increase in the rate of assumed agricultural assessment to Rs.11-4-0 an acre except in the case of sites assigned to depressed classes in the town of Udipi. No such notification appears to have been published in other districts where this Party was enganged in revising ground rent but I submit that as in the case of ordinary assessment the ryot should know of the percentage enhancement sanctioned before he is asked to pay the revised rent at the enhanced rate. If the Board considers that a notification should be published, the enclosed

draft may kindly be approved. As no objections will be invited from the ryots, there is no need to defer the introduction of the enhanced rate till the publication of the notification and fair accounts based on the new rate have been issued.

---

Endorsement thereon by the Collector of South Kanara, No. D.Dis. 2428-35, dated 6th June 1935.

Submitted to the Board, Land Revenue and Settlement, - Madras.

2. I agree with the Special Assistant Settlement Officer The draft notification sent by him may be approved and ordered to be published in the District Gazette.

---

The following notification will be published for the information of the ryots: -

NOTIFICATION.

Government have decided that in respect of the ground-rent lands in the several towns of the South Kanara District the existing rate of assumed agricultural assessment of - Rs.6-4-0 an acre should be raised by 80 per cent, that is, to Rs.11-4-0 an acre with effect from fasli 1344. The effect of this order will be to raise the existing rates of ground-rent of Rs.6-0-0 and Rs.6-4-0 to Rs.11-4-0 an acre and the other rates by Rs.5 an acre.

2. In respect, however, of the sites assigned to the Depressed classes in the town of Udipi, the existing rate of ground-rent of Rs.3-2-0 will not be interfered with.

3. Lands assessed to special rate or ground rent and found to be situated outside the present limits of town will be assigned a suitable soil classification and appropriate -

taram-

taram assessment in lieu of the existing ground rent.

4. No separate pattas will be issued for the ground rent cases. The term 'special rate' will be avoided altogether and only the term 'ground rent' retained as there will be no difference in amount hereafter between the special rate and ground rent. All lands assessed to ground rent will continue to appear in the adangal and 10 (1) chittas as at present with the entry 'Ground rent' in the remarks column, the columns intended to give their description and soil classification being left blank.

See para 9 on p 8 of (M)
& para 3 on p 42 of (M)

OM. II - 2/4455-35-1 18.7.35

Assessment - Ground rent (South Kanara) - Revision of rates - Notification - Publication

Board's Refce No F. 2128-35-1 dt 2-7-35

The Board of Rev. is requested to report whether a notification similar to the one proposed in its refce read above was issued in connection with the revision of ground rents sanctioned in Govt Nos 565 Revn 9-3-32, 1324 Revn 18-6-31, 1198 Rev dt 17-6-29 & 2925 Revn 13-12-28 & in similar cases previously dealt with.

Assessment- Ground rent- South Kanara- Revision Notification-Publication.

ENCLOSURES.

No.....

.....

Spare copies.....

Reference..No. F 2128/35--3

*Station* Madras *Dated the* 16th August 35 192

*From* M.K.Vellodi Esq.,I.C.S.,
Joint Secretary,

~~The Board of Revenue,~~ Land Revenue.
~~Revenue Settlement, etc.~~
~~Separate Revenue.~~

M.R.Ry.Diwan Bahadur N.Gopalaswami Ayyangar Avl.,C.I.E.,
~~Resolution~~
Reference. Commr.of Land Revenue and Sett.-

Read the following:-

Memorandum from Government, Revenue Department, No.2744 F/35-1 dated 18th July 35.

II

Letter from M.R.Ry. J.Balaji Rao Avargal, B.A., Special Assistant Sett.Officer in charge, Party No.II.Salem, L.Dis. C.No. 2686-35 dated 7-8--35.

III

Letter from M.Kazem Ali Saheb Ansari Bahadur, B.A.,Special Assistant Settlement officer in charge, Party No.I,Nellore, L.Dis.No. 1618-35 dated 9-8--35.

Reference- p 5 ante

With reference to the memorandum fromGovernment read above,the Board begs to submit that no notification similar to the one proposed, was published in any of the districts in which the rate of assumed agricultural assessment was revised previously.

17.8.33

Joint Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Deptt.

M.D.17-8

17.8

New Repo

Assessment - Ground rent - (South anara) - Revision of rates - Notification - Publication

From the Bd of Revenue
Refce No F. 2120-35-1912-7-35

T.O.W.S.

Please see the Bd's refce in the C.F.

2. The action of the S.H.S.O. in not issuing rough pattas or individual notices to the registered holders of ground rent lands may, it is submitted be approved. Vide Bd's refce at B on P.C.F.

As regards the publication of a notification in the district gazette notifying the increase in the rate of assumed agricultural assessment to Rs 11-4-0 an acre except in certain cases, it is for consideration whether such a notification is necessary. The S.H.S.O., Party No III, himself states that no such notification appears to have been published in other districts where this Party was engaged in

revising ground-rent. It does not also appear that such a notification was published in the several cases put up below. As stated by the S.A.S.O. the percentage enhancement sanctioned by the Court is final & no objection thereto could be admitted. It is for general information that the notification is proposed to be published. In this connection perusal is requested of Hon'ble's remarks at the foot of p 41 of Q.O. 105 a Rev'd 6.5.35. It is for orders whether the publication of a notification is necessary or not. If it is however considered necessary, Law (Draftg) may be requested to advise whether the draft notifn at pp 3 & 4 of C.F. is adm.

The Board may be
a[illegible] Dept of Revenue
such a Notification were issued
in connection w. the decision
of S.R. Vanderstraaten & Sons
585 Rev. d. 9.3.32 (Sedovanie
Mts Rec) No 1324 Rev d.
18.6.31 (Dialeba), Nos 11[illegible]
d. 17.6.3

A draft memo to the Bd is submitted for approval

Issued as D.O.M. No. 2744 F.No. 10/18/7.85-
From the Bd after CWPs 1 Rejd.

D.W.S.

Please see

(1) the memo to the Bd at Pp 5 C.F.

(2) the Bd's reply at Pp 6-7 C.F.

2. The Bd has stated that no notification similar to the one at Pp 3-4 C.F. was published in any of the States in which the rate of assessed agricultural assessment was revised previously. Orders are solicited on the notes at Pp 1-2 ante.

9/8/85
9/8

It is not
matter of great
importance either way.
On the whole I
think a notification
is unnecessary
1. because it is not
usual
2. if the notification
should have been
published in the first
of an Instruction (but the

the proposals for
the revision of the
- rest were not
published

20/8/35
S
Lapse
21.8.35

If draft order is wished for

The Board of Revenue proposes that a notification should be published setting out the increase in the rate of assumed agricultural assessment in the case of ground rent cases in Kistna District sanctioned in Flag M.

This seems to be unnecessary: it has not been done in previous settlements when an increase was sanctioned, and as pointed out by C/S. it should in any case have been published last fasli, 1344, when the increases were introduced. It is no use publishing it now, viz fasli 1345.

The draft order may issue.

# DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

| | Date. | Initials. |
|---|---|---|
| When received for fair copying .. | | |
| When copied .. | | |
| When examined.. | | |
| When signed .. | | |
| When despatched. | | |

SUBJECT

READ AGAIN Ltr. Ms no 1059 Rev dated 6 — 5 — 1935 (M)

" " — 19

READ *ALSO*:—

No 27447/35 From the Rd. Rules (LRIS) Rept. No. 72128 " — 7 — 1935.

" Memo 5 50 " 27447/35-1 Rd (RI) 1935.

" 35127/35 Ltr — 50 Rept. 72128/35-3 Rd 168 1935.

Order / ~~Resolution~~ Ms. / ~~Rout.~~ No. 2049, dated 30 — 8 — 1935.

para 2 para 4 on p 1 C/S

& para 2 on p 1 C/S

The Govt approve the action of the Special Asst Settlement Officer, in the Party in not issuing rough pattas or individual notices to the registered holders of ground-nut lands in S. Kanara Dt. —

2. The Govt do not consider that a notification announcing the enhancement of the assumed agricultural assessment in the S. Kanara Dt ~~is not to be~~ is necessary, for the following reasons :—

(i) It is not usual to publish such a notification.

p 3 C/S

p 4 R/S

(ii). the notification should have been published in the year of introduction, i.e. as per 1344 all, or

(iii) the proposals for the revision of ground rent were not published

23.8.35